Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/675,155	AMITAY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EDWYN LABAZE	2887
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>EDWYN LABAZE</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. YOAV ALKALAY.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 February 2009	Time: 3:50 PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 2, 57, and 63		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/EDWYN LABAZE/ Primary Examiner, Aπ Unit 2887	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/675,155

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called Mr. Alkalay to discuss possible 101 issues regarding the independent claims 29, 57, and 63 wherein the method claims are not linked to an apparatus and to propose new amended claims that will resolve said issues. Mr. Alkay, after carefully reviewing the proposed amendments, graciously authorized an examiner's amendment for entering the proposed amendments so as to render the claimed invention in condition for allowance.